

Finding Vector Potentials¹

Let \mathbf{F} be a vector field in \mathbb{R}^3 . If $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0$ then \mathbf{F} is said to be **divergence free**. For divergence free vector fields it is known that there exists a vector field \mathbf{G} such that $\mathbf{F} = \nabla \times \mathbf{G}$. Such a vector field is called a **vector potential** for \mathbf{F} . Here we shall develop a method for finding a vector potential. Write $\mathbf{F} = \langle F_1, F_2, F_3 \rangle$ and $\mathbf{G} = \langle G_1, G_2, G_3 \rangle$ then solving $\nabla \times \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}$ amounts to simultaneously solving

$$\frac{\partial G_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial z} = F_1,$$

$$\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial G_3}{\partial x} = F_2,$$

$$\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial y} = F_3.$$

To do this we exploit the fact that \mathbf{G} is far from unique. Suppose $\mathbf{H} = \langle H_1, H_2, H_3 \rangle$ has curl \mathbf{F} . Let f be any differentiable scalar function on \mathbb{R}^3 . Notice that

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{H} + \nabla f) = \nabla \times \mathbf{H} + \nabla \times (\nabla f) = \nabla \times \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{0} = \nabla \times \mathbf{H}.$$

Thus $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{H} + \nabla f$ is also a vector potential for \mathbf{F} . Choose f so that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = -H_3$. This can be done by simply integrating H_3 with respect to z ,

$$f(x, y, z) = - \int H_3(x, y, z) dz,$$

using any choice you like for the arbitrary constant of integration. Thus we can assume that $\mathbf{G} = \langle G_1, G_2, 0 \rangle$ and we now only need to solve

$$-\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial z} = F_1,$$

$$\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial z} = F_2,$$

$$\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial y} = F_3.$$

We illustrate how to do this with two examples.

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{F} = \langle x^2, 3xz^2, -2xz \rangle$. Show that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0$ and find a vector potential \mathbf{G} for \mathbf{F} . Verify directly that $\nabla \times \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}$.

Solution. Firstly, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 2x + 0 - 2x = 0$. Next

$$-\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial z} = x^2 \implies G_2(x, y, z) = -x^2 z + C_2(x, y)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial z} = 3xz^2 \implies G_1(x, y, z) = xz^3 + C_1(x, y)$$

¹Copyright ©Michael Sullivan, 2012

where the C_1 and C_2 are arbitrary differentiable functions of x and y only. And $(G_2)_x - (G_1)_y = -2xz$ implies

$$\left(-2xz + \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}\right) - \left(0 + \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial y}\right) = -2xz$$

or

$$\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial y}.$$

So we just need to pick functions $C_1(x, y)$ and $C_2(x, y)$ that satisfy this condition. We will take the easy way out and just set both equal to zero! Thus our solution is

$$\mathbf{G} = \langle xz^3, -x^2z, 0 \rangle.$$

Finally we check our answer.

$$\nabla \times \langle xz^3, -x^2z, 0 \rangle = \langle 0 - (-x^2), 3xz^2 - 0, -3x^2z - 0 \rangle = \mathbf{F}.$$

□

Example 2. Let $\mathbf{F} = \langle yz, xz, xy \rangle$. Show that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0$ and find a vector potential \mathbf{G} for \mathbf{F} . Verify directly that $\nabla \times \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}$.

Solution. Firstly, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0$. Next we have

$$-\frac{\partial G_2}{\partial z} = yz \implies G_2(x, y, x) = -\frac{1}{2}yz^2 + C_2(x, y),$$

$$\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial z} = xz \implies G_1(x, y, x) = \frac{1}{2}xz^2 + C_1(x, y).$$

Then $(G_2)_x - (G_1)_y = xy$ implies $(C_2)_x - (C_1)_y = xy$. We just have to pick functions that do this. Let $C_2 = \frac{1}{2}x^2y$ and $C_1 = 0$. Thus our solution is

$$\mathbf{G} = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}xz^2, \frac{1}{2}x^2y - \frac{1}{2}yz^2, 0 \right\rangle.$$

Finally we check our answer.

$$\nabla \times \left\langle \frac{1}{2}xz^2, \frac{1}{2}x^2y - \frac{1}{2}yz^2, 0 \right\rangle = \langle 0 - (-yz), xz - 0, xy - 0 \rangle = \mathbf{F}.$$

□

In physics courses vector potentials come up because magnetic fields are divergence free and so have vector potentials. However, they typically take a different approach to finding these. They use the fact that usually a magnetic field is the result of a stream of charged particles called a current. Using this one can find a vector potential that is more physically natural.

Given a divergence free vector field \mathbf{F} one might wonder what is the set of all vector potentials for \mathbf{F} . If the \mathbf{G} is a vector potential for \mathbf{F} and f is any differentiable scalar function then we have seen that $\mathbf{G} + \nabla f$ is also a vector potential for \mathbf{F} . It can be shown that these are in fact all of the possible vector potentials of \mathbf{F} . This is analogous to the fact that if $h'(x) = q(x)$ then the set of all anti-derivatives of $q(x)$ can be expressed as $h(x) + C$. Likewise for all the scalar potentials of a conservative field.

Problems.

- (1) Redo Example 1 but assume $G_2 = 0$. Show that the difference between your answer and the one obtained before has gradient zero.
- (2) Redo Example 2 but assume $G_1 = 0$. Show that the difference between your answer and the one obtained before has gradient zero.
- (3) Let $\mathbf{F} = \langle y, z, x \rangle$. Show that \mathbf{F} is divergence free; find a vector potential; then check your result.
- (4) Let $\mathbf{F} = \langle z^2x - 1, -z^2y, 1 - x^2 \rangle$. Show that \mathbf{F} is divergence free; find a vector potential; then check your result.
- (5) Let $\mathbf{F} = \langle x, 0, 0 \rangle$. Then $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = 1 \neq 0$. Try to find a vector potential anyway and see what goes wrong.